

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOI/PA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET  
FOI/PA# 1215237-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 64

Page 8 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 41 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 46 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 56 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 57 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 67 ~ b3;  
Page 71 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 84-88 of this FOIA;  
Page 72 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 84-88 of this FOIA;  
Page 73 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 84-88 of this FOIA;  
Page 74 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 84-88 of this FOIA;  
Page 75 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 84-88 of this FOIA;  
Page 77 ~ b3;  
Page 85 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 86 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 87 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 88 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 89 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 90 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 91 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 92 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 93 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 94 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 95 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 96 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 97 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 98 ~ Duplicate - Sub GJ p. 136-149 of this FOIA;  
Page 106 ~ b3;  
Page 107 ~ b3;  
Page 108 ~ b3;  
Page 109 ~ b3;  
Page 110 ~ b3;  
Page 114 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 122 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 125 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 126 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 127 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 128 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 129 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 130 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 131 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 132 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 133 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 134 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 135 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 136 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 137 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 138 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 139 ~ b6; b7C;

Page 140 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 149 ~ b3;  
Page 150 ~ b3;  
Page 155 ~ b3;  
Page 156 ~ b3;  
Page 161 ~ b3;  
Page 162 ~ b3;  
Page 169 ~ b3;  
Page 170 ~ b3;  
Page 171 ~ b3;  
Page 172 ~ b3;  
Page 200 ~ b3;  
Page 214 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 215 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 216 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 217 ~ b6; b7C;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For this Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/04/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted] was interviewed at his place of employment. Also present during the interview was attorney [redacted]. After being advised of the identities of the interviewing agents and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

[redacted] related that he and Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER have known each other [redacted]

[redacted]  
[redacted] SUTKER [redacted]  
[redacted]  
SUTKER [redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted] SUTKER.

[redacted] was directed to the time frame of late 1998 through 1999 by the interviewing agents. [redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted] SUTKER  
[redacted]

[redacted] stated that he knew SUTKER's Chief-of-Staff, BARBARA PANOZZO, and had met [redacted] initially

Investigation on 02/01/2002 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B-CG-110663-302-86 Date dictated 02/04/2002

SA [redacted]:rpw  
by SA [redacted]

0582201.302

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 02/01/2002 , Page 2

b6  
b7C

stated that he had been introduced to BARBARA PANOZZO [REDACTED]  
"ways back" when he had seen [REDACTED] in SUTKER's office. [REDACTED]  
later concluded that he had actually seen [REDACTED] two  
times, once when he was introduced to [REDACTED] in SUTKER's office and  
another time he had seen [REDACTED] in SUTKER's office when he was  
walking by. [REDACTED] stated that he knew [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that he  
was not sure if these two events were in late 1998 or 1999, but  
only that they were a ways back and he did not recall seeing [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] since that time or before that time. [REDACTED]  
initially stated that he did not believe that [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] when he saw them in SUTKER's  
office, but later stated that he did not know if [REDACTED]  
or not.

[REDACTED] stated that he saw and spoke to SUTKER [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] stated that he did not recall  
[REDACTED] name ever coming up in any conversation with  
SUTKER. [REDACTED] stated that he did not have any knowledge about  
[REDACTED] SUTKER [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] SUTKER [REDACTED] did not recall  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that he was [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] and was aware that each commissioner had three full-  
time county positions in their budgets and a fourth position which  
could be a county position or an independent contractor. [REDACTED]  
stated that all of [REDACTED] so he  
is not sure how the independent contractors are paid. [REDACTED]  
stated that he and [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/02/2002

[redacted] contacted the Office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of Illinois, and was interviewed telephonically by the undersigned agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Also present during the telephone call was Assistant United States Attorney [redacted]. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

[redacted] indicated that she had read that the Federal Bureau of Investigation was investigating ghost payrolling involving Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER. [redacted]

[redacted] explained that it was her experience that most of the [redacted] stated that she did not have any information about [redacted] SUTKER.

[redacted] related that she believed that two individuals [redacted]

[redacted] telephone [redacted] was responsible for [redacted]

[redacted] stated that [redacted]

[redacted] worked in [redacted]

Investigation on 01/31/2002 at Chicago, Illinois (telephonically)

File # 194B-CG-110663-302-87 Date dictated 02/02/2002

by SA [redacted] :rpw

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/01/2002

[redacted] telephone [redacted] was interviewed telephonically at his [redacted] telephone [redacted]

b6  
b7C

After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

[redacted] initially agreed to be interviewed and informed the interviewing that he would in [redacted] for the next three weeks, excluding weekends. [redacted] stated that he would work out a time to be interviewed in-person, but stated that he preferred to keep his weekends free for his family. [redacted] then agreed to be interviewed telephonically.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was advised that the interviewing agent was interested in any information he could provide relating to [redacted] generally and specifically directed to the time frame of late 1998 through 1999.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] related that he had known both [redacted] and COOK COUNTY COMMISSIONER CALVIN SUTKER for [redacted] stated that he had known [redacted] but stated that he did not know how much longer than that. [redacted] stated that he knew [redacted] quite well and was aware that [redacted] SUTKER [redacted] characterized SUTKER as [redacted] stated that he was aware that SUTKER had a [redacted] and indicated that he was aware that [redacted] BARBARA PANOZZO [redacted] had worked for SUTKER politically and at Cook County.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] related that in late 1998 and 1999, he had [redacted] advised [redacted] SUTKER. [redacted] advised that this office was SUTKER's district office, as well as the office SUTKER used as the Niles Township Committeeman and for the Niles Township Democratic Organization (NTDO). [redacted] stated that he believed that [redacted] but stated that he did not know in what capacity or for what period of time. [redacted] stated [redacted]

b6  
b7C

Investigation on 02/01/2002 at [redacted] (telephonically)

File # 194B-CG-110663-302 - 88 Date dictated 02/04/2002

by SA [redacted] rpw

b6  
b7C

032RPW01.302

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [redacted], On 02/01/2002, Page 2

b6  
b7C

[redacted] described the NTDO as a "top-down" organization that was controlled primarily SUTKER and BARBARA PANOZZO. [redacted] explained that by "top-down," he meant that the NTDO, like nearly all Democratic organizations, was run by the politicians. [redacted] stated that he was not really in a position to identify anyone other than SUTKER or BARBARA PANOZZO that could speak to [redacted] anyone else's role with the NTDO.

[redacted] stated that there was a period of time when he saw [redacted] stated that he was not comfortable saying if this was for a period of days, weeks, or months. [redacted] stated that he was also not sure exactly what the time frame was that he saw [redacted] in the [redacted] but recalled that it was a few years ago.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] indicated that from late 1998 through 1999, he was in his [redacted] nearly everyday for some period of time, whenever the [redacted] advised that this was true because [redacted] advised that he was not necessarily in the [redacted] for entire days or for any set period of time, but stated that sometimes it was for a few minutes and sometimes much longer during any given day. [redacted] related that the [redacted] advised that he was not in the [redacted] office very much during this time because he was in [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that it was "very clear" to him that [redacted] SUTKER when he was in the [redacted] [redacted] advised that it always looked like [redacted] [redacted] stated that he had no first-hand knowledge of any specific [redacted] SUTKER. [redacted] acknowledged that [redacted] SUTKER, [redacted] SUTKER, [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he was "absolutely certain" that [redacted] had done whatever [redacted] stated that it was his understanding that [redacted] SUTKER during the time period in question. [redacted]

b6  
b7C

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 02/01/2002, Page 3

b6  
b7C

recalled a couple of conversations with SUTKER since the investigation became public, but stated that he was not sure exactly when they took place. [REDACTED] stated that none of these conversations had taken place "recently" and stated that it was his recollection that the investigation became public a couple of years ago. [REDACTED] stated that he could not recall any specific conversations with SUTKER about [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

recalled SUTKER [REDACTED]

SUTKER [REDACTED] during the conversation, but not much else. [REDACTED] was asked if he recalled [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that he had not recalled the [REDACTED] until the interviewing agent had mentioned it, but stated that he remembered SUTKER saying it now. [REDACTED] stated that he could not say if [REDACTED] worked for the [REDACTED] during the time [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] characterized SUTKER as [REDACTED] [REDACTED] stated that he did not necessarily have an unbiased opinion of SUTKER because of [REDACTED] but nevertheless believed that SUTKER would not do anything illegal or improper. [REDACTED] stated that he understood that it was more his "belief" that SUTKER would not do anything illegal or improper. [REDACTED] stated that he had never seen or heard of SUTKER doing anything illegal or improper. [REDACTED] stated that "to [his] knowledge," SUTKER, unlike a lot of politicians, had never even used his political influence to so much as fix a parking ticket.

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] indicated that he recalled [REDACTED] the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) about [REDACTED] but stated that he never had any conversations with [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] did not recall any specific conversations with [REDACTED] about [REDACTED] or any [REDACTED] SUTKER [REDACTED] the FBI.

[REDACTED] stated that no one, including SUTKER or BARBARA PANOZZO, had suggested or asked him to say anything regarding [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C



- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/01/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted]  
was interviewed at his place of employment. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agents and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] indicated that between 1997 and 1999, [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that during the some time while he [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

did not recall the circumstances under which he met [redacted]

[redacted] stated that during the time that he [redacted]  
[redacted]

[redacted] stated that he never saw [redacted] stay for any extended period of time on any of the occasions, but stated that he would not have [redacted] Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER's [redacted] for any length of time when [redacted] was present.

[redacted] stated that he was aware that [redacted] was BARBARA PANOZZO [redacted] and that BARBARA PANOZZO was a long-time SUTKER employee and campaign worker. [redacted] stated that he was aware that [redacted] SUTKER [redacted] but stated that he did not know the exact time when [redacted] SUTKER [redacted] stated that he knew that [redacted] was SUTKER [redacted] SUTKER [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he had talked with [redacted] a few times about the fact that [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] recalled a conversation where [redacted] SUTKER [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

Investigation on 01/30/2002 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B-CG-110663-302 - 89

Date dictated 02/01/2002

by SA [redacted] rpw  
SA [redacted]

b6  
b7C

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 01/30/2002 , Page 2

[REDACTED] believed that [REDACTED] was waiting to go to lunch with BARBARA PANOZZO and made a comment to the effect of, [REDACTED] stated that he was not positive that it was [REDACTED] who said it, but stated that [REDACTED] would know. [REDACTED] stated that he had met [REDACTED] and was aware that he worked for the [REDACTED] but could not definitively say if it was him. [REDACTED] stated that he was not sure when this conversation took place, but thought that he was working for [REDACTED] at the time.

b7C  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that he never worked on anything with [REDACTED] and was not aware of anyone who has. [REDACTED] stated that in the few times he saw [REDACTED] he did not appear to be [REDACTED] did not recall [REDACTED] discussing anything [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that he recalled [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that he was not aware of anyone else who saw [REDACTED] but stated that [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

SUTKER'S

[REDACTED] stated that he was aware that commissioners had three full-time county positions and a fourth position that could be a full-time county position or an independent contractor or contractors at the commissioners discretion. [REDACTED] stated that he had never seen any written policy on the use of independent contractors or any reporting requirements.

b6  
b7C

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/01/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted] was interviewed at her place of employment. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agents and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that she did not recognize the name [redacted] and had never met someone with that name. [redacted] did not recall [redacted] Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER's [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was shown a picture of [redacted] stated that the picture did not help her recollection.

b6  
b7C

Investigation on 01/30/2002 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B-CG-110663-302-90

Date dictated 02/01/2002

by SA [redacted] rpw  
SA [redacted]

b6  
b7C

01220005.002

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 01/25/2002

[redacted] years of age [redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted] was contacted at his place of employment. [redacted] was  
advised of the identities of the interviewing agents and the  
purpose of the interview. [redacted] then provided the following  
information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that he has [redacted]  
[redacted] advised that his duties as [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that he was familiar with Commissioner  
SUTKER. He mentioned that SUTKER was on the Forest Preserve  
Committee. [redacted] noted that [redacted] is SUTKER  
[redacted] Commissioner  
SUTKER [redacted] explained that [redacted] was SUTKER  
[redacted] recognized the last name PANOZZO as the last  
name of SUTKER's Chief of Staff BARBARA. [redacted] advised that  
BARBARA once introduced him to [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was aware that consultants or independent  
contractors could be hired by commissioners. According to [redacted]  
consultants or contractors could be hired as part-time workers and  
receive some benefits or as full-time workers and receive full  
benefits. [redacted] knowledge of consultant or contractor hiring is  
based on a [redacted]  
[redacted] advised that [redacted] did not have any  
[redacted] further advised that  
he did not know any county employees currently working in that  
capacity.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that he heard a rumor about an  
investigation concerning SUTKER. [redacted] believes that it was during

b6  
b7CInvestigation on 1/24/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663-302-91

Date dictated \_\_\_\_\_

by SA [redacted]  
SA [redacted]b6  
b7C

F: 0251ce 01.302

194B-CG-110663

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED], On 1/24/2002, Page 2

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] only knew that an investigation existed, he could not provide any details.

[REDACTED] SUTKER's [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] advised that he talks to [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] advised that SUTKER [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] He doesn't know how SUTKER [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] was subsequently shown a photograph depicting an individual. [REDACTED] thought the individual depicted in the photo might be BARB (BARBARA PANOZZO) or a relative because the business attire worn by the individual in the photo is similar to business attire worn by BARB.

b6  
b7C

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 01/25/2002

[redacted] DOB, [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was advised of the identity of the interviewing agents and the purpose of the interview. [redacted] then provided the following information:

[redacted] advised that she has been [redacted]

b6  
b7C

According to [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that she [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that she heard rumors of an investigation concerning Commissioner SUTKER. She heard that SUTKER's office was bugged. She also heard that SUTKER was being investigated because one [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] SUTKER [redacted] as nice. [redacted] advised that [redacted] BARB (PANOZZO) however, she was

Investigation on 01/24/2002 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B-CG-110663-302-42 Date dictated \_\_\_\_\_

by SA [redacted]  
SA [redacted]

b6  
b7C

I 025162021302

Continuation of FD-302 of

01/24/2002

2

b6

b7C

SUTKER [REDACTED] (phonetic).

b6

SUTKER

b7C

[redacted] stated that she hadn't discussed the above rumors with SUTKER. [redacted] advised that [redacted]

b6

b7C

advised that she had a conversation with

b6

b7c

**SUTKER**

SUTKER'S OFFICE.

advised that

**SUTKER**

was subsequently shown a photo of an individual.

b6

b7C

[redacted] advised that she didn't recognize the individual depicted in the photo. [redacted] advised that BARB has a [redacted]

advised that she accompanied

BARB [redacted] was not available. [redacted] had no knowledge of BARB [redacted]

BARB

spoke to BARB about [REDACTED] SUTKER's

b6

b7c

advised that she began speaking to BARB [REDACTED] It was [REDACTED] impression that BARB had been involved in politics for a long time. [REDACTED] believed BARB had been an employee of Cook County for more than twenty years. BARB told [REDACTED] that she

[REDACTED] BARB might currently be on leave working on SUTKER's campaign.

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 01/25/2002

[redacted] Social Security  
Account Number [redacted] work telephone number [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] of the  
[redacted] After being advised of the  
identities of the interviewing agents and the nature and purpose of  
the interview, [redacted] provided the following information.

[redacted] was recently [redacted] and  
has only been [redacted]

[redacted] stated that although she has only  
[redacted] she was fairly  
certain that she would recognize most of the individuals who worked  
there at least by sight if not by name. [redacted] clarified that she  
would be confident about identifying the [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] has no personal knowledge of any investigations or  
problems within the Cook County Board of Commissioners beyond the  
occasional lawsuits which are well publicized in the newspapers and  
television. For example, [redacted] cited the recent actions of a  
pharmaceutical company which were reported in the newspaper.

b6  
b7C

According to [redacted] the best individual to address [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] LNU. [redacted] had no knowledge of [redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted]

[redacted] reviewed the color photograph provided by the  
agents and stated that she had never seen the male pictured.

b6  
b7C

Investigation on 01/24/02 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B-CG-110663-302-93 Date dictated 01/25/02

by SA [redacted]  
SA [redacted] :RWW

b6  
b7C



194B-CG-110663-302-93



b6  
b7C

Faw 031 raw 03.302

194B-CG-110663

Continuation of FD-302 of [redacted], On 01/24/02, Page 2

b6  
b7C

[redacted] repeated that while she has only [redacted]  
[redacted] she would probably recognize most of [redacted]  
[redacted] with the exception of the individuals who  
were [redacted]

At the conclusion of the conversation, interviewing  
agents provided [redacted] business cards with contact numbers.

b6  
b7C

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 01/30/2002

On 01/30/2002, [redacted]  
 was interviewed at [redacted]  
 [redacted] was advised of the interviewing agents' identities and  
 that nature of the interview. Following is a non-verbatim summary  
 of the information provided by [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted]  
 [redacted] Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER of the  
 13th District was [redacted] elected in 1994. [redacted] SUTKER [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted]  
 SUTKER, [redacted]  
 [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] individuals on SUTKER's staff by face,  
 but not name, with the exception of SUTKER's Chief of Staff BARB  
 PANOZZO. [redacted] did not recall ever seeing B. PANOZZO. [redacted]  
 [redacted] related that a photograph of [redacted]  
 provided by the agents did not assist him in determining if he had  
 ever seen [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted]  
 [redacted] has [redacted]  
 [redacted] Approximately three years ago, an amendment to  
 the budget increased funds for Commissioners to hire an additional  
 full-time employee or a subcontractor. [redacted] a  
 full-time employee and has no knowledge of the practices or  
 procedures for hiring a subcontractor.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] has seen newspaper articles regarding the  
 alleged ghost pay rolling scheme within SUTKER's office, but has  
 not spoken to anyone [redacted] about the investigation.

b6  
b7CInvestigation on 01/30/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663-302-94 Date dictated n/aby SA [redacted] LSY  
SA [redacted] amh amhb6  
b7C

030 amh 01.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 01/29/2002

[redacted] previously interviewed, was interviewed telephonically on January 28, 2002. Also present on the telephone line was [redacted] After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C  
b7Db6  
b7C  
b7DInvestigation on 01/28/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663-302 - 95 Date dictated 01/29/2002by SA [redacted] rpwb6  
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

02929201.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/01/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted] was interviewed at his place of employment. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agents and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he had [redacted]  
[redacted] stated that [redacted]  
[redacted] Commissioner SUTKER [redacted] and the other times he did not recall specifically where [redacted] was. [redacted] stated that he was not aware what [redacted] was doing and was never told by anyone that [redacted] Commissioner SUTKER. [redacted] stated that these [redacted] were spread out and did not recall ever seeing [redacted] consistently.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that often, but not always, when a [redacted]  
[redacted] stated that this was never done with [redacted] recalled that this was done by SUTKER [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was directed to the time frame of December, 1998 through the end of 1999. [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he could not say if any of the [redacted] were during this time frame. [redacted] stated that he was aware that [redacted] BARBARA PANOZZO worked in SUTKER's office during this time frame. [redacted] stated that [redacted] SUTKER. [redacted] stated that BARBARA PANOZZO still works for SUTKER [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he did have one [redacted]  
[redacted]

Investigation on 01/30/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663-302 - 96 Date dictated 02/01/2002by SA [redacted] rpw  
SA [redacted]b6  
b7C

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [redacted], On 01/30/2002, Page 3

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he did not recall any major issues on [redacted] that had been raised in the last few years. [redacted] stated that he did recall SUTKER [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he was aware that each commissioner was budgeted three county staff positions and a fourth position that could be a county employee or an independent contractor.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that [redacted] to his knowledge [redacted] stated that because [redacted] [redacted] stated that he did not recall anyone from SUTKER's office ever [redacted] about the independent contractor positions.

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/14/2002

[redacted] was interviewed at [redacted] telephone [redacted]. After being advised of the identities of the interviewing agents and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he has been the [redacted] [redacted] advised that the [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that [redacted] was an [redacted] [redacted] stated that [redacted] is not currently assisting in [redacted] and has not done so for the last few years. [redacted] advised that when [redacted] was an [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he did not know if [redacted] worked on the weekends or if he just wanted the time for other things.

[redacted] recalled that when [redacted] was an [redacted] [redacted] thought that [redacted] Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER. [redacted] stated that he learned this from conversations with [redacted] stated that he did not have any knowledge about specific [redacted] SUTKER and did not know what [redacted] stated that it was his recollection that [redacted] told him that he did not have a [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he did not know exactly what that meant.

[redacted] stated that [redacted] would occasionally have [redacted] [redacted] stated that [redacted] never specified [redacted]

b6  
b7C

Investigation on 02/13/2002 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B CC 110662-302-97 Date dictated 02/14/2002

by SA [redacted] rpw

b6  
b7C

045RPW01.302

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 02/13/2002, Page 2

b6  
b7C

where exactly he was going or what he had to do. [REDACTED] recalled that when [REDACTED] did this, he would [REDACTED] [REDACTED] recalled that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

The interviewing agent inquired as to whether or not [REDACTED] had made [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that he was aware that [REDACTED] had been a [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that he had read in the papers that there was an investigation involving [REDACTED] [REDACTED] SUTKER, but stated that he had not discussed the investigation with anyone, including [REDACTED] SUTKER, or BARBARA PANOZZO. [REDACTED] stated that he does not know SUTKER, but may have met him at some Cook County function where county employees were expected to be seen. [REDACTED] stated that he had not really seen [REDACTED] for the last few years, except that he might have been at a few [REDACTED] characterized [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that he was not aware of anyone who had worked with [REDACTED] during the time that he was supposed to [REDACTED] SUTKER and did not know of anyone who might have information relevant to the investigation.

b6  
b7C



- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/11/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted] was interviewed at [redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted] After being  
advised of the identity of the interviewing agents and the purpose  
of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that he knows BARBARA PANOZZO, [redacted]  
[redacted] from Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER's staff.  
[redacted] advised that BARBARA PANOZZO still works for SUTKER, but  
[redacted] advised that he knew [redacted]  
[redacted] SUTKER [redacted] advised that he does  
not know [redacted] and was not aware that [redacted]  
SUTKER [redacted] advised that he did not  
think that he had ever met [redacted] stated that

b6  
b7C

[redacted]  
business and stated that he did not know of anyone who was aware of  
things that [redacted]

[redacted] stated that he was aware that BARBARA PANOZZO  
was from the South suburbs of Chicago and friendly with people from  
the 19th Ward. [redacted] was directed to the time frame of December  
1998 through 1999 by the interviewing agent and asked about any  
significant [redacted] stated that [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7CInvestigation on 02/07/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663-302 - 98 Date dictated 02/11/2002by SA [redacted] :rpwb6  
b7C

042R2204.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/28/2002

On 02/21/2002, [redacted] was

b6  
b7C

[redacted] After being advised of the interviewing agents' identities and the nature of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

[redacted] has been a [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was aware of the federal investigation of ghost pay rolling allegations in the office of Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER. [redacted] has heard conversations [redacted] but has no personal knowledge regarding this matter.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] has never [redacted] and has never checked into the process or requirements to do so. [redacted] knows of no other [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] SUTKER's office. [redacted] has met members of SUTKER's staff, including BARBARA PANOZZO, on a social level [redacted] but has had no direct dealings with them. [redacted] does not know [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] SUTKER [redacted] [redacted] has not been on any other [redacted] SUTKER.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] did not recall any pertinent issues or significant events relative to [redacted] during the time frame December 1998 through October 1999.

b6  
b7CInvestigation on 02/21/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663 - 302 - 99 Date dictated NAby SA [redacted] amh [signature]b6  
b7C

059amh01.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/20/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted]  
[redacted] was interviewed at his place of employment. After being  
advised of the identities of the interviewing agents and the  
purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following  
information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] related that he had been the [redacted]  
[redacted] characterized the  
main function of his job as [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] related that various people [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

Investigation on 02/20/2002 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B-CG-110663-302 - 100 Date dictated 02/20/2002

by SA [redacted]:rpw  
SA [redacted]

b6  
b7C

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 02/20/2002, Page 2

b6  
b7Cb6  
b7C

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that prior to the interviewing agents coming, he had [REDACTED] Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER's office [REDACTED] stated that he did know [REDACTED] and never spoke to SUTKER or anyone from his office about [REDACTED] [REDACTED] stated that this was true both during the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] stated that he was not aware of anyone who had any knowledge about what [REDACTED] SUTKER.

b3

[REDACTED] stated that he had been a [REDACTED] SUTKER [REDACTED] [REDACTED] SUTKER [REDACTED] [REDACTED] stated that the people he saw the most during his involvement [REDACTED] BARBARA PANOZZO [REDACTED] CALVIN SUTKER [REDACTED] LNU. [REDACTED] stated that he may have seen [REDACTED] during this time, but does not remember him.

b6  
b7C

During the interview, [REDACTED] was provided with a United States District Court Grand Jury Subpoena, Northern District of Illinois, compelling [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b3

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/21/2002

[redacted] previously interviewed. [redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted]  
[redacted] was interviewed telephonically at his place of  
employment. After being advised of the identity of the  
interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted]  
provided the following information:

b6  
b7c

b3

Investigation on 02/19/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663-302 -101 Date dictated 02/21/2002by SA [redacted] :rpwb6  
b7c

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency;  
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

0522PWA/Job

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/22/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted] was  
interviewed at her place of employment. After being advised of the  
identities of the interviewing agents and the purpose of the  
interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that she had been the [redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted] advised that in her current capacity she is

b3

Investigation on 02/21/2002 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B-CG-110663-302-102 Date dictated 02/22/2002

by SA [redacted] :row  
SA [redacted]

b6  
b7C

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 02/21/2002, Page 3

[REDACTED]

b3

[REDACTED] stated that she did not know an individual named [REDACTED] and did not associate that name with anyone from Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER's office. [REDACTED] stated that his name might have been [REDACTED]

b3

[REDACTED] but she did not recall it. [REDACTED] stated that she did not know and had not heard of anyone who knew [REDACTED] or of [REDACTED] SUTKER's office. [REDACTED] stated that she had never talked to anyone in SUTKER's office about [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that the only person she connected to SUTKER's office was an older, white female. [REDACTED] recognized the female's name as BARBARA PANOZZO.

At the conclusion of the interview, [REDACTED] provided the interviewing agents with a copy of a [REDACTED]

b3

[REDACTED] This document is attached, and made a part of, this report. [REDACTED] also made the [REDACTED] available during the interview.

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/22/2002

On February 22, 2002

b3

[redacted] telephone [redacted] provided the undersigned agent with a facsimile of a [redacted]  
[redacted] is attached to, and made a part of, this report.

(X)  
/s/

Investigation on 02/22/2002 at Chicago, ILFile # 194B-CG-110663-302 -103 Date dictated 02/22/2002by SA [redacted] :rpwb6  
b7c

053 RPW 02.20.02





b3

# Fax

To: Robert Wolat

From:

b3

Fax: 312-922-6695

Date:

Phone:

Pages:

-14-

Re:

CC:

☐ Urgent

☐ For Review

☐ Please Comment

☐ Please Reply

☐ Please Recycle

•Comments:

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/28/2002

[redacted] previously interviewed, was interviewed in the Office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of Illinois, prior to a Grand Jury appearance. Also present during all or portions of the interview were [redacted] attorney, [redacted] and Assistant United States Attorneys (AUSAs) [redacted] and [redacted]. After being advised of the identities of those present, [redacted] provided the following information:

b3

b3

Investigation on 02/28/2002 at 02/28/2002File # 194B-CG-110663-302 -104 Date dictated 02/28/2002by SA [redacted]:rpwb6  
b7c

05928201.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/25/2002

[redacted] previously interviewed, was contacted telephonically by the undersigned Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted] stated that he was aware that [redacted]  
Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7DInvestigation on 02/19/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663-302 -108 Date dictated 02/25/2002by SA [redacted]:rpwb6  
b7C

056EPW03.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 03/07/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted]  
telephone [redacted] was interviewed at her place of  
employment. After being advised of the identity of the  
interviewing agents and the purpose of the interview, [redacted]  
provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that from [redacted] she  
had worked in the [redacted]  
[redacted] stated that she started [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that she was aware that [redacted]

b6  
b7CInvestigation on 03/05/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663-302 -106 Date dictated 03/07/2002by SA [redacted]  
SA [redacted]b6  
b7C

06621102.502

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 03/05/2002, Page 2

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that a copy of the Cook County Code of Ethics could be obtained from either the Clerk of the Board or from the Executive Director of the Board of Ethics, [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that she was not aware of any  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that she knew [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that she was not aware of any work that [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] had done for Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER.  
[REDACTED] stated that she had not spoken to anyone, and was not aware of anyone, who had worked with [REDACTED] or was aware [REDACTED] SUTKER.

[REDACTED] stated that it was not uncommon for her not to know people [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] stated that she did not know if [REDACTED] had worked in SUTKER's District Office.

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 03/07/2002

[redacted] previously interviewed, was interviewed telephonically at his place of employment. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] recalled telling the interviewing agent that he [redacted] Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER until approximately [redacted] [redacted] recalled that he then [redacted] SUTKER's office. [redacted] stated that he could

b6  
b7C

[redacted] described [redacted] [redacted] stated that he could not recall the exact language of [redacted] but stated that [redacted] would have it. [redacted] stated that he worked approximately [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he did not recall any specific conversations he had with SUTKER [redacted] but stated that he recalled that SUTKER [redacted]

b6  
b7C

Investigation on 03/06/2002 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B-CG-110663-302 - 107 Date dictated 03/07/2002

by SA [redacted]:rpw

b6  
b7C

02672001.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 03/07/2002

On March 5, 2002, the undersigned agents of the Federal  
Bureau of Investigation obtained two documents labeled [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] The documents are attached  
to, and made a part of, this report.

(X)  
r/2

Investigation on 03/05/2002 at Chicago, ILFile # 194B-CG-110663-302 -108 Date dictated 03/07/2002by SA [REDACTED] :rdw  
SA [REDACTED]b6  
b7C

066212003.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/05/2002

On February 4, 2002, [redacted] was interviewed by Special Agents (SA) [redacted] and SA [redacted]. Also present during this interview was [redacted] attorney, [redacted] and the interview occurred in the offices of JENNER & BLOCK, LLC, One IBM Plaza, Chicago, Illinois. After being advised as to the identity of the interviewing agents, and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised he is aware of a federal investigation regarding Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER, based on rumors he has heard, along with newspaper reports. [redacted] advised he has very little interaction with SUTKER, and the little contact he does have is usually related to [redacted]

SP  
P.1  
B.1  
b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised SUTKER used to be the Chairman of the Cook County Democratic Committee during the 1980's. [redacted] stated he is aware of SUTKER's current Chief of Staff, BARBARA PANOZZO, and added he may have [redacted] SUTKER was in Springfield, Illinois in the Illinois House.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] does not believe that he knows [redacted] but he added he may have been introduced to [redacted] by BARBARA PANOZZO; but [redacted] added he does not recall such an introduction. [redacted] is unaware of [redacted] whether as a [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised it is his understanding that [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised he does not know [redacted] nor [redacted]

Investigation on 02/04/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663; 194B-CG-116706-302-128 Date dictated 02/05/2002

by SA [redacted] BGM:bgm

b6  
b7C

I:WC3/ 10746gm 01.308  
This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.



194B-CG-110663; 194B-CG-116706

Continuation of FD-302 of , On 02/04/2002, Page 2

b6  
b7C

has a staff which is funded by the Cook County Commission.

b6  
b7C

is unaware of any requirements regarding the work of independent contractors for Cook county.

At this point of the interview  was asked to recall his contacts and business dealings with

b6  
b7C

194B-CG-110663; 194B-CG-116706

Continuation of FD-302 of , On 02/04/2002, Page 3

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

described his relationship with  as a business relationship, and he sees  at

b6  
b7C

advised his

b6  
b7C

advised he is willing to answer additional questions regarding these matters, and his attorney requested that any additional interviews and/or service of subpoenas be arranged through his law firm.

b6  
b7C

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 03/26/2002

On March 26, 2002, [redacted] b3  
[redacted] faxed the undersigned agent of the Federal Bureau of  
Investigation a one-page document titled [redacted]  
[redacted] indicated in telephone  
conversation that the document was the back page of a [redacted]  
[redacted] The fax, with cover page, is attached to, and made  
a part of this report.

(X)  
[signature]

Investigation on 03/26/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663-302 -110 Date dictated 03/26/2002by SA [redacted]:rpw

081RPW02 Jm

b6  
b7C

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 03/26/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted]  
[redacted] was interviewed in the Office of the United States Attorney,  
Northern District of Illinois, 219 South Dearborn Street, Chicago,  
Illinois. Also present during the interview was Assistant United  
States Attorney [redacted] After being advised of the  
identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the  
interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b3

[redacted] stated that there were [redacted]

[redacted]

b3

Investigation on 03/22/2002 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B-CG-110663-302 -111

Date dictated 03/26/2002

by SA [redacted] rpw

b6  
b7C

08127W01.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 03/14/2002

[redacted] previously interviewed, was interviewed in the Office of the United States Attorney, 219 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois. Also present during the interview were Assistant United States Attorneys (AUSAs) [redacted] and [redacted]. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b3

b3

Investigation on 03/11/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663-302 -112 Date dictated 03/14/2002by SA [redacted]:rpw

b6

b7C

07:01:02.102

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 03/16/2002

[redacted] previously interviewed, was interviewed in the Office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of Illinois, 219 South Dearborn Street, 5th Floor, Chicago, Illinois. Also present during the interview were Assistant United States Attorneys (AUSAs) [redacted] and [redacted]. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b3

b3

Investigation on 03/14/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663-302 -113 Date dictated 03/16/2002by SA [redacted]:rpwb6  
b7C

03/16/2002

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 03/15/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted] was interviewed telephonically at her place of employment, [redacted] telephone [redacted]. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that from approximately [redacted]

[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that she knows CALVIN SUTKER to be a member of the Cook County Board of Commissioners but did not recall ever having any substantive conversations with him about any political issue. [redacted] stated that because [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that she knew [redacted]

Investigation on 03/15/2002 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B-CG-110663-302-114 Date dictated 03/15/2002

by SA [redacted]:rpw

b6  
b7C

074RPW02.302

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 03/15/2002, Page 2

b6  
b7C

BARBARA PANOZZO (hereinafter "PANOZZO") to be a member of SUTKER's staff, but was not aware of any others, past or present. [REDACTED] stated that she talked to BARBARA PANOZZO from time to time about politics, but not frequently because SUTKER's district was in Skokie. [REDACTED] stated that she did recall talking to PANOZZO about the [REDACTED] but nothing detailed. [REDACTED] stated that PANOZZO had mentioned that she thought that [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that PANOZZO had never mentioned that SUTKER was thinking about [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that she had not provided PANOZZO with [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] with SUTKER's office on [REDACTED] and BARBARA PANOZZO had never requested [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that if PANOZZO was thinking about [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that PANOZZO had never volunteered for the [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that she was on the [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that to her knowledge, PANOZZO had never asked for any of the [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that PANOZZO could have come to [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that she knew PANOZZO lived in and was heavily involved in the larger Beverly-area community. [REDACTED] stated that she was aware that PANOZZO knew a lot of people in [REDACTED] and probably interacted with people affected by the [REDACTED]. As an example, [REDACTED] stated that she was aware that PANOZZO was involved with [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that Beverly community was very tight-knit and worked together on many things.



194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [redacted], On 03/15/2002, Page 3

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that [redacted] PANOZZO [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted]

[redacted] stated that she knew [redacted]  
[redacted] BARBARA PANOZZO [redacted] but stated that she had never met him.  
[redacted] stated that she was not aware of [redacted] doing any  
volunteer work with the [redacted] and was not  
aware [redacted] SUTKER. [redacted] stated that she had  
never had a conversation with BARBARA PANOZZO [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted]  
[redacted] SUTKER.

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 03/15/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted] telephone  
[redacted] was interviewed telephonically at [redacted]  
After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and  
the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following  
information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that approximately [redacted]

[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] recalled that approximately [redacted] he had a  
conversation with BARBARA PANOZZO about the [redacted]  
[redacted] could not recall  
the details of the conversation, but stated that BARBARA PANOZZO  
was interested in the things they were doing. [redacted] stated that he  
did not know if BARBARA PANOZZO or CALVIN SUTKER were trying to

b6  
b7C

Investigation on 03/14/2002 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B-CG-110663-302-115 Date dictated 03/15/2002

by SA [redacted] rpw

b6  
b7C

PNW  
0742201 Jot

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 03/14/2002, Page 2

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]  
SUTKER's district, or what the purpose of the interest was. [REDACTED] stated that he did not recall any follow-up to the conversation or anyone from SUTKER's office looking to [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that BARBARA PANOZZO occasionally commented that [REDACTED] but [REDACTED] did not recall anything more than an occasional comment.

[REDACTED] stated that he knew BARBARA PANOZZO to be a member of SUTKER's staff and he knew that SUTKER was a Cook County Commissioner for the District that included Skokie. [REDACTED] stated that he met SUTKER a number of years ago when SUTKER was the Chairman for the Democratic Party. [REDACTED] stated that he first met BARBARA PANOZZO [REDACTED] explained that [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that he [REDACTED] BARBARA PANOZZO [REDACTED] but could not recall which ones at the moment.

[REDACTED] stated that he knew [REDACTED] through [REDACTED] but stated that he was not close to [REDACTED] stated that he may have worked [REDACTED] stated that he did not recall having any conversations with [REDACTED] directly about [REDACTED] SUTKER [REDACTED] stated that he did not recall any conversations with SUTKER BARBARA PANOZZO [REDACTED] SUTKER. [REDACTED] stated that he does not know anyone with knowledge about matters that [REDACTED] SUTKER and any knowledge he had was through newspaper accounts of the investigation.

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that he had never spoken to SUTKER or any of [REDACTED] about the investigation or [REDACTED] stated that he did not ask and that they would bring it up if they wanted to. [REDACTED] stated that he had spoken to SUTKER a short time ago about [REDACTED] but nothing else that he could recall. [REDACTED] stated that he spoke to SUTKER periodically about politics. [REDACTED] characterized his relationship with BARBARA PANOZZO [REDACTED] stated that he spoke to her from time to time. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] stated that he had not spoken to [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [redacted], On 03/14/2002, Page 3

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he was not aware of [redacted] ever  
[redacted]  
[redacted] SUTKER's district [redacted] stated  
that if anyone [redacted] might have knowledge about SUTKER,  
BARBARA PANOZZO, [redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted]  
stated that [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 04/10/2002

[redacted] previously interviewed, contacted the undersigned agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation telephonically and provided the following information:

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted] stated that on [redacted] at approximately 11:00a.m., she [redacted] walked past BARBARA PANOZZO [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted] advised that she did not say or do anything to either [redacted] or BARBARA PANOZZO to provoke them. [redacted] stated that [redacted] SUTKER's office, and [redacted] stated that she is not aware of any reason that [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7DInvestigation on 04/09/2002 at Chicago, Illinois (telephonically)File # 194B-CG-110663-302-11 Date dictated 04/10/2002by SA [redacted] rpwb6  
b7C

099RPW01.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 04/10/2002

[redacted] (hereinafter [redacted], date of birth [redacted]  
[redacted] social security account number [redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted]  
work telephone [redacted] was interviewed at her place of  
residence. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing  
agents and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the  
following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that on the day of the interview [redacted]  
[redacted] BARBARA PANOZZO (hereinafter "B.  
PANOZZO"), [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that she did not intend to [redacted]  
[redacted]  
Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER. [redacted]  
she was aware of the investigation, that [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7CInvestigation on 04/09/2002 at [redacted]b6  
b7CFile # 194B-CG-110663-302-117Date dictated 04/10/2002by SA [redacted]:RPW  
SA [redacted]

0592Pw02.302

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 04/09/2002, Page 2

b6  
b7Cb6  
b7C

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that she was aware that the federal investigation concerning [REDACTED] SUTKER's office centered around allegations of ghost payrolling. [REDACTED] stated that she thought that [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] SUTKER's office. [REDACTED] stated that she did not have any first-hand knowledge of what matters [REDACTED] (hereinafter [REDACTED])

[REDACTED] SUTKER, but was aware that he had [REDACTED] SUTKER's downtown office, and related that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] SUTKER [REDACTED] stated that she had [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that she was aware that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] SUTKER [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that she could not say what the specifics of these conversations was because she really was not paying attention. [REDACTED] stated that despite the fact that she had been a [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that she had seen [REDACTED] but stated that she could not give a time frame or say [REDACTED] stated that she saw [REDACTED] in SUTKER's downtown office because [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that she could not put a number on how many times she had seen [REDACTED] in SUTKER's office, but stated that she [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that she felt if she put a number on how many times she had [REDACTED] it would be twisted or distorted. [REDACTED] was provided with the time frame of [REDACTED] and asked if this correlated

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 04/09/2002 , Page 3

b6  
b7C

with the times she had seen [REDACTED] SUTKER's office and [REDACTED] SUTKER' [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that she could not say if the dates corresponded to the dates she [REDACTED] but she stated she had no reason to take note of the dates at the time. [REDACTED] stated that she did not know what the other SUTKER [REDACTED] but stated that

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] SUTKER, [REDACTED]  
SUTKER [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that she was aware that [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] SUTKER [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] SUTKER's  
office.

[REDACTED] stated that she was aware that [REDACTED] was currently employed by [REDACTED] (hereinafter [REDACTED] stated that she was not aware, and had never been aware, that [REDACTED] stated that she was not aware that [REDACTED] had any [REDACTED] SUTKER.

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated she did not have any more specifics about what [REDACTED] SUTKER's office or [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C



194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [redacted], On 04/09/2002, Page 4

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that she did not think there was any reason to take note of these things at the time, but wishes she would have now.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that [redacted] were of the opinion that [redacted] SUTKER was

b6  
b7C

[redacted] specifically mentioned the names [redacted]

[redacted] stated that [redacted]

[redacted] was in SUTKER's District and SUTKER [redacted]

[redacted] SUTKER when they learned what [redacted]

[redacted] stated that [redacted]

b6  
b7C

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 04/10/2002

[redacted] previously interviewed, was interviewed telephonically by the undersigned agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted] advised that she had never [redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted] stated that SUTKER was aware  
[redacted]  
[redacted] Commissioner  
CALVIN SUTKER or BARBARA PANOZZO [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted]  
[redacted] SUTKER commented [redacted]  
[redacted] told SUTKER that he had been [redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted] recalled that SUTKER [redacted]  
[redacted]

Investigation on 04/10/2002 at Chicago, Illinois (telephonically)File # 194B-CG-110663-302 - 118 Date dictated 04/10/2002by SA [redacted] :rpwb6  
b7C

099ZPWO3.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 05/07/2002

[redacted] born [redacted] social security account  
number [redacted] of [redacted]  
[redacted] cell phone [redacted] was interviewed at the [redacted]

[redacted] After being  
advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose  
of the interview, he provided the following information:

[redacted] is employed as [redacted]  
[redacted]

[redacted] grew up in the [redacted]  
[redacted] Over the years [redacted]  
[redacted]

[redacted] reported that [redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted]

(Special Agent [redacted] of the Federal Bureau of  
Investigation presented [redacted] with a Grand Jury Subpoena on behalf  
of [redacted] said that as [redacted] he could accept  
the Subpoena and queried [redacted]  
[redacted]

1275wp01.302  
Investigation on 05/03/02 at [redacted]

File # 194B-CG-110663-302 -119

Date dictated \_\_\_\_\_

by SA [redacted]:jwp

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 05/03/2002

On April 26, 2002, SA [ ] measured the approximate distance between the Cook County Building and Cal Sutker's office located at 4119 W. Main Street, Skokie, IL.

b6  
b7c

SA [ ] measured the distance via automobile odometer and determined that from Clark and Randolph to Sutker's office via eastbound on Randolph, westbound on the Kennedy Expressway, northbound on the Edens Expressway, northbound on Skokie Boulevard from the Touhy exit and eastbound on Main Street to Sutker's office, was fourteen miles.

b6  
b7cⓧ  
b7cSA [ ] HNb6  
b7cInvestigation on 4/26/02 at Chicago, ILFile # 194B-CG-110663-302-120 Date dictated 5/3/02

by SA [ ] :kss

123K5601-302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 05/30/2002

[redacted] telephone [redacted] was interviewed telephonically after contacting the undersigned agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that he and [redacted] BARBARA PANOZZO, [redacted] stated that he was in possession of a tax document, dated [redacted] related to the purchase. [redacted] stated that he had been in possession of the vehicle for a short period of time prior to the purchase, but he was not aware of exactly how long before the [redacted] date. [redacted] recalled that BARBARA PANOZZO did not have [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he did not know what the mileage on the [redacted] was when he purchased it. [redacted] stated that none of the paperwork he had on the vehicle indicated what the mileage was when he purchased it or at any other time.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he was aware that [redacted] was involved with an FBI investigation, but stated that he did not know anything about it. [redacted] stated that he believed that [redacted] drove the [redacted] for a period of time, but indicated that he did not know for how long or what he used it for.

b6  
b7C

Investigation on 05/28/2002 at Chicago, Illinois (telephonically)

File # 194B-CG-110663-302-121 Date dictated 05/30/2002

by SA [redacted]:rpw

b6  
b7C

1502Pw01.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 05/24/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted], was interviewed telephonically at her place of residence. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that she and [redacted] BARBARA PANOZZO. [redacted] stated that [redacted] would be a better person to talk to regarding any details about the car. [redacted] stated that she was aware that [redacted] were involved in an investigation involving the Federal Bureau of Investigation. [redacted] stated that she had been advised to tell anyone contacting her that they should talk to her attorney. [redacted] advised that she would feel more comfortable talking to her attorney before discussing anything else.

b6  
b7C

*Syk*  
*(P)*  
*PC*

Investigation on 05/23/2002 at Chicago, Illinois (telephonically)File # 194B-CG-110663-302-122 Date dictated 05/24/2002by SA [redacted] :rpwb6  
b7C*1442PWO2 302*

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 05/23/2002

[redacted] date of birth [redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted]  
[redacted] was interviewed at her place of residence. After being  
advised of the identity of the interviewing agents and the purpose  
of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that she is currently employed as an  
[redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted] advised that she has  
been employed by the [redacted] for approximately  
the [redacted] advised that she had worked at both  
the [redacted]  
[redacted] prior to her current employment. [redacted]  
advised that she had worked for the [redacted]  
[redacted] advised that she had worked for the [redacted]  
[redacted] advised that she worked on [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that she met and began dating [redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted]  
[redacted] stated that [redacted] also lived  
there for a brief period of time when she was there. [redacted] advised  
that she moved out and [redacted]  
[redacted] advised that [redacted] during the  
time that she knew him. [redacted] advised that she was aware that  
[redacted] BARBARA PANOZZO, [redacted]  
owned a Ford Taurus that sat in her garage. [redacted] advised that she  
learned that the Taurus was in BARBARA PANOZZO's garage when  
[redacted]  
[redacted] BARBARA PANOZZO [redacted]  
[redacted] advised that she was unaware if [redacted]  
[redacted] advised  
that she was a [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7CInvestigation on 05/22/2002 at [redacted]File # 194B-CG-110663-302 -123Date dictated 05/23/2002by SA [redacted] rpw  
SA [redacted]b6  
b7C

143RPW01.302

194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of \_\_\_\_\_, On 05/22/2002, Page 2

b6  
b7C

\_\_\_\_\_ stated that she had seen \_\_\_\_\_, but had never seen him driving any other vehicles.

\_\_\_\_\_ advised that during the time that \_\_\_\_\_ she became aware that he was being investigated by the FBI regarding allegations of ghost payrolling in the Office of Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER. \_\_\_\_\_ stated that she had read a couple articles in the newspaper and discussed it briefly with him on a few occasions. \_\_\_\_\_ advised that \_\_\_\_\_ told her that he did not understand the investigation, that he had \_\_\_\_\_ Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER, \_\_\_\_\_ had lawyers working on the case. \_\_\_\_\_ stated that she remembered PANOZZO telling her that there was a \_\_\_\_\_

b6  
b7C

\_\_\_\_\_ SUTKER \_\_\_\_\_ stated that \_\_\_\_\_ SUTKER's \_\_\_\_\_ SUTKER \_\_\_\_\_ stated that the only other thing she knew about the job was that it was a \_\_\_\_\_ stated that she had no first-hand knowledge of any \_\_\_\_\_ SUTKER at the County or \_\_\_\_\_ stated that she was aware that \_\_\_\_\_ SUTKER's \_\_\_\_\_ stated that most \_\_\_\_\_ and stated that she \_\_\_\_\_ SUTKER. \_\_\_\_\_ stated that she was aware that BARBARA PANOZZO worked for SUTKER, but had never heard BARBARA PANOZZO mention anything that \_\_\_\_\_ stated that she had heard BARBARA PANOZZO make comments about the investigation, but she did not remember any specifics.

\_\_\_\_\_ advised that she recalled a few conversations with \_\_\_\_\_ since they \_\_\_\_\_ stated that in \_\_\_\_\_ stated that she ran into \_\_\_\_\_

b6  
b7C

\_\_\_\_\_ stated that at no time during these conversations or others she had, did he mention that the FBI might want to talk to her or suggest that she say anything.



194B-CG-110663-302

Continuation of FD-302 of [redacted], On 05/22/2002, Page 3

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that she [redacted] SUTKER  
[redacted] stated that her understanding was  
[redacted]  
in SUTKER's district office. [redacted] stated that [redacted]

[redacted]  
[redacted] stated that she was never [redacted]  
[redacted] stated that she informed  
SUTKER [redacted]

[redacted] recognized the following names as individuals she  
knew to be [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] and an [redacted] Last Name Unknown (LNU). [redacted]  
stated that she had met other friends of [redacted] but did not  
recall the names. [redacted] stated that she knew that [redacted] had  
[redacted]

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 05/28/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted] was interviewed telephonically at his place of employment. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that IEPA computer system showed that a 1987 Ford Taurus, bearing Illinois license plate "HB 208" received and passed IEPA emissions tests on 10/09/1997 and 03/13/2000.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that the mileage for the Ford Taurus was recorded as 81,000 and 90,000 on these respective dates. [redacted] stated that the same 1987 Ford Taurus, bearing Illinois license plate "J873377" received and passed an IEPA emissions test on 09/24/2001 and the mileage was recorded as 105,000.

[redacted] stated that the mileage recorded by IEPA emission personnel was not an exact mileage, but a recorded figure of the closest thousand. [redacted] stated that the IEPA is required by law to record a mileage when an emissions test is given. [redacted] stated that the IEPA does not record who brought the vehicle in for an emissions test.

b6  
b7C

At the conclusion of the interview, [redacted] provided the undersigned agent of the FBI with a facsimile printout of the IEPA records documenting, among other things, the dates of testing and the mileage of the vehicles.

b6  
b7C

Investigation on 05/28/2002 at [redacted] (telephonically)

b6  
b7C

File # 194B-CG-110663-302-124 Date dictated 05/28/2002

by SA [redacted]:rpw

14827001.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 05/24/2002

[redacted]  
[redacted] telephone [redacted] was interviewed telephonically after contacting the undersigned agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the purpose of the interview, [redacted] provided the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that [redacted]  
[redacted] BARBARA PANOZZO, [redacted] stated that [redacted]  
[redacted] stated that she knows that it was the [redacted]  
[redacted] stated that she did not know how long [redacted] had the vehicle before they [redacted] stated that she no longer owns [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that she did not know who, if anyone, was driving the vehicle before she got it. [redacted] stated that she was [redacted] and had not heard who was driving the car.

b6  
b7CInvestigation on 05/23/2002 at [redacted] (telephonically)File # 194B-CG-110663-302 -125 Date dictated 05/24/2002by SA [redacted] rpwb6  
b7C

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 05/07/2002

On May 2, 2002, SA [ ] Federal Bureau of Investigation, measured the approximate distance between the downtown Office of Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER, 118 North Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois and the residence of [ ] as well as the approximate distance between [ ] residence and [ ]

b6  
b7C

SA [ ] measured the approximate distance via automobile odometer and determined that from SUTKER's downtown office to [ ] residence traveling southbound on Clark Street, westbound on Congress Parkway, eastbound on Interstate 94 (Dan Ryan), southbound on Interstate 57, westbound on the 111th Street exit, southbound on Vincennes, westbound on Monterey, northbound on Longwood, and westbound on 110th Place, was measured at 16.6 miles. (X)

b6  
b7C

SA [ ] measured the approximate distance via automobile odometer and determined that from [ ] residence traveling northbound on Interstate 57, westbound on Interstate 94 (Dan Ryan), eastbound on 67th Street, northbound on Woodlawn, and eastbound on 64th Street to the intersection of 64th Street and Dante, was measured at 10.7 miles.

b6  
b7C

Investigation on 05/02/2002 at Chicago, Illinois

File # 194B-CG-110663-302 -126 Date dictated 05/07/2002

by SA [ ]:rpw

b6  
b7C

157 RPW02.302

- 1 -

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 05/07/2002

On May 3, 2002, SA [REDACTED], Federal Bureau of Investigation, measured the approximate distance between the residence of [REDACTED] and the District Office of Cook County Commissioner CALVIN SUTKER, 4119 West Main Street, Skokie, Illinois:

b6  
b7C

SA [REDACTED] measured the approximate distance via automobile odometer and determined that from [REDACTED] residence to SUTKER's District Office traveling eastbound on 110th Place, southbound on Longwood, eastbound on Monterey, northbound on Interstate 57, westbound on Interstate 90/94 (Dan Ryan, Kennedy, Edens), northbound on Skokie Boulevard from the Touhy Avenue exit, and eastbound on Main Street, was 30.0 miles. The approximate distance to the Touhy Avenue exit was measured at 27.5 miles.

b6  
b7CInvestigation on 05/03/2002 at Chicago, IllinoisFile # 194B-CG-110663-302-127 Date dictated 05/07/2002by SA [REDACTED]:rpwb6  
b7C

157RPW01.302